

Judicial Retention Questions

(Vote Yes or No)

District Court Judge - 13th Judicial District

Shall Judge Stephanie M.G. Gagliano of the 13th Judicial District be retained in office?

YES NO

Ballot Measures

Ballot questions referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot questions initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot question listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot question listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes/for" vote on any ballot question is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no/against" vote on any ballot question is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.

State Ballot Measures

Amendment D (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning judges of the newly created twenty-third judicial district, and, in connection therewith, directing the governor to designate judges from the eighteenth judicial district to serve the remainder of their terms in the twenty-third judicial district and requiring a judge so designated to establish residency within the twenty-third judicial district?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment E (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the extension of the property tax exemption for qualifying seniors and disabled veterans to the surviving spouse of a United States armed forces service member who died in the line of duty or veteran whose death resulted from a service-related injury or disease?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Amendment F (CONSTITUTIONAL)

Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the conduct of charitable gaming activities, and, in connection therewith, allowing managers and operators to be paid and repealing the required period of a charitable organization's continuous existence before obtaining a charitable gaming license?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition FF (STATUTORY)

SHALL STATE TAXES BE INCREASED \$100,700,000 ANNUALLY BY A CHANGE TO THE COLORADO REVISED STATUTES THAT, TO SUPPORT HEALTHY MEALS FOR PUBLIC SCHOOL STUDENTS, INCREASES STATE TAXABLE INCOME ONLY FOR INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE FEDERAL TAXABLE INCOME OF \$300,000 OR MORE BY LIMITING ITEMIZED OR STANDARD STATE INCOME TAX DEDUCTIONS TO \$12,000 FOR JOINT RETURN FILERS AND \$16,000 FOR JOINT RETURN FILERS, AND, IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, CREATING THE HEALTHY SCHOOL MEALS FOR ALL PROGRAM TO PROVIDE HEALTHY SCHOOL MEALS TO STUDENTS IN PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING GRANTS FOR PARTICIPATING SCHOOLS TO PURCHASE COLORADO GROWN, RAISED, OR PRODUCED PRODUCTS TO INCREASE WAGES OR PROVIDE STIPENDS FOR EMPLOYEES WHO PREPARE AND SERVE SCHOOL MEALS, AND TO CREATE PARENT AND STUDENT ADVISORY COMMITTEES TO PROVIDE ADVICE TO INSURE SCHOOL MEALS ARE HEALTHY AND AFFORDABLE TO ALL STUDENTS; AND CREATING A PROGRAM TO ASSIST IN PROMOTING COLORADO FOOD PRODUCTS AND PREPARING SCHOOL MEALS USING BASIC NUTRITIONAL INGREDIENTS WITH MINIMAL ADDITION OF PROCESSED PRODUCTS?

YES NO/AGAINST

State Ballot Measures

Proposition GG (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes requiring that the ballot title and fiscal summary for any ballot initiative that increases or decreases state income tax rates include a table showing the average tax change for tax filers in different income categories?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 121 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes reducing the state income tax rate from 4.55% to 4.40%?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 122 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning legal regulated access to natural medicine for persons 21 years of age or older, and, in connection therewith, defining natural medicine as certain plants or fungi that affect a person's mental health and are controlled substances under state law; establishing a natural medicine regulated access program for supervised care, and requiring the department of regulatory agencies to implement the program and comprehensively regulate natural medicine to protect public health and safety; creating an advisory board to advise the department as to the implementation of the program; granting a local government limited authority to regulate the time, place, and manner of providing natural medicine services; allowing limited personal possession, use, and uncompensated sharing of natural medicine; providing specified protections under state law, including criminal and civil immunity, for authorized providers and users of natural medicine; and, in limited circumstances, allowing the retroactive removal and reduction of criminal penalties related to the possession, use, and sale of natural medicine?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 123 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning statewide funding for affordable housing, and, in connection therewith, dedicating state revenues collected from an existing tax of one-tenth of one percent on federal taxable income of every individual, estate, trust, and corporation, as defined in law, for affordable housing and exempting the dedicated revenues from the constitutional limitation on state income tax spending; allocating 60% of the dedicated revenues to affordable housing financing programs that will include, but not be limited to, purchase land for affordable housing development, and build assets for renters; allocating 40% of the dedicated revenues to programs that support affordable home ownership, help persons experiencing homelessness, and support local housing capacity; requiring local governments that seek additional affordable housing funding to expedite development approvals for affordable housing projects and commit to increasing the number of affordable housing units by 3% annually; and specifying that the dedicated revenues shall not supplant existing appropriations for affordable housing programs?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 124 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning increasing the number of retail liquor store licenses in which a person may hold an interest, and, in connection therewith, phasing in the increase by allowing up to 8 licenses by December 31, 2026, up to 13 licenses by December 31, 2031, up to 20 licenses by December 31, 2036, and an unlimited number of licenses on or after January 1, 2037?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Proposition 125 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning the expansion of retail sale of alcohol beverages, and, in connection therewith, establishing a new fermented malt beverage and wine retailer license for off-site consumption to allow grocery stores, convenience stores, and other business establishments licensed to sell fermented malt beverages, such as beer, for off-site consumption to also sell wine; automatically converting such a fermented malt beverage retailer license to the new license; and allowing fermented malt beverage and wine retailer licensees to conduct tastings if approved by the local licensing authority?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

State Ballot Measures

Proposition 126 (STATUTORY)

Shall there be a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes concerning authorization for the third-party delivery of alcohol beverages, and, in connection therewith, allowing retail establishments licensed to sell alcohol beverages for on-site or off-site consumption to deliver all types of alcohol beverages to a person twenty-one years of age or older through a third-party delivery service that obtains a delivery service permit; prohibiting the delivery of alcohol beverages to a person who is under 21 years of age as requested, or fails to provide proof of identification; removing the limit on the percentage of gross sales revenues a licensee can receive from alcohol beverage deliveries; and allowing a technology services company, without obtaining a third-party delivery service permit, to provide software or a digital network application that connects consumers and licensed retailers for the delivery of alcohol beverages?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

Buffalo School District RE-4J Director District A Recall

General Statement of grounds for recall:

Sonya failed to follow proper protocol in the hiring of a superintendent. She over her own beliefs first and did not represent the people that voted her in.

Board Member Hutchison's Statement:

I would like to address my recall as director of District A in the Buffalo RE-4J School District. I returned to the board because I believed the Covid mandates to be government overreach and a violation of the rights of parents. Parents should make the medical decisions for their children and not the government. Children were never the drivers of Covid infections and have a 99.997% cure rate for those under the age of 19yo. I was there to do my best to advocate for them since they had no voice.

I never intended on returning to the board to immediately be faced with replacing a long term superintendent that had moved out of state without notifying the board prior to his relocation. The board consulted with attorneys in executive session to decide the best course moving forward for the school. To believe that one board member out of five had the authority to fire a superintendent on their own is wrong. I did attempt to ask what I felt was an important question regarding the Covid mandates in the superintendent interview process but was stopped by a Case member as well as the school attorney.

I believe the personal beliefs that Mrs. Hutt is referring to is that Jesus Christ is my Lord and Savior and I do my best to not only listen to him but seek guidance from him in all areas of my life.

This recall is going to cost the district approximately \$50,000 dollars, money which could be used for the children in our district. It's truly sad and to once again reiterate I am one vote on a board of five members.

RECALL QUESTION:

(Vote YES or NO)

Shall Sonya Hutchison be recalled from the office of Buffalo School District RE-4J Director District A?

YES/FOR NO/AGAINST

SUCCESSOR CANDIDATES

(Vote for One)

Candidates nominated to succeed Sonya Hutchison should she be recalled:

Mike Kirschbaum

Write-In